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BACKGROUND


The Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council shall work toward statewide coordination to provide the most cost-effective services for the students, teachers, and school districts in each educational service unit. . . . [ESUCC’s] duties include, but are not limited to:

(a) Preparation of strategic plans to assure the cost-efficient and equitable delivery of services across the state;
(b) Administration of statewide initiatives and provision of statewide services; and
(c) Coordination of distance education.

Based on the above statutory authority, the ESUCC, in its first year of existence, determined that all statewide projects previously offered in partnership by various ESUs would be placed under the umbrella of the ESUCC. The ESU hosting the statewide project became known as the “fiscal agent” for that project, now under the ESUCC. The ESUCC then established agreements with each fiscal agent to continue to provide the services of the project and also established a master services agreement with each ESU enabling them to choose which statewide projects they wanted to participate in.

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010, the ESUCC began a transition away from the fiscal agent organization. This transition took the form of the ESUCC contracting with ESU 17 to provide all staff for the ESUCC. This was accomplished for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011, by ESU 17 developing employment contracts with all previous ESUCC project employees, including the Executive Director of the ESUCC. Thus, all ESUCC project employees and the ESUCC Executive Director became ESU 17 employees. Through an interlocal agreement, the ESUCC agreed to reimburse ESU 17 for all salaries and benefits for these employees.

The following is a brief description of each statewide project as it operated during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013:

- **ESU Professional Development Organization (ESUPDO)**
  The ESUPDO serves as a collaborative effort to provide training for ESU employees statewide. Professional development is among the core services identified by State statute for ESUs. The ESUCC manages ESUPDO functions as part of the overall general administrative costs and activities of the ESUCC. The ESUPDO consists of five affiliate groups comprised of ESU employees across the 17 ESUs. These groups are:
  
  o **Staff Development Affiliate (SDA):** Members are generally responsible for providing staff development for their school districts and have assisted the Nebraska Department of Education (Education) efforts on statewide and local assessment, as well as school improvement for Nebraska’s school districts.
Technology Assistance Group (TAG): Members provide assistance to school districts in the dissemination and integration of new educational technologies deployed by school districts. Recently, this has included numerous initiatives, such as learning management systems and one-to-one laptop initiatives, as well as classroom technologies, including electronic whiteboards, clickers, and other educational technologies. Additionally, the TAG members may assist districts in the use of new software and computer applications, including statewide assessment.

Network Operations Committee (NOC): The NOC supports the extensive communications network within and among the ESUs and school districts. The NOC provides network security and protocols for its districts and ESUs and ensures the communications network for distance education, internet, email, and internet protocol phones are functioning and secure. Statewide, this group establishes common frameworks and capacities for assisting one another to ensure the education network functions well.

Instructional Materials (I-Mat): I-Mat consists of media professionals from across the State. It serves the dual role of providing for and assisting school districts to make use of the statewide I-Mat media, as well as the integration of media materials in school districts. Originally, I-Mat served to ensure that school districts had access to educational films, videos, and DVDs. Currently, I-Mat is implementing a Learning Object Repository (LOR) system using Safari Montage for digital delivery of library and media materials. This service is among the core services identified by State statute.

ESU Special Populations Directors (ESPD): This affiliate group consists of the Special Education Directors and staff from across the State. This affiliate group was included in the ESUPDO as the need for special education professional development has progressed in the age of standards and assessment, along with the need to establish and share professional development efforts for special education teachers and classroom teachers alike. ESPD is also involved with Education in providing leadership for special education training and support, as well as programs such as Response To Intervention (RTI).

Distance Education
Originating with the Distance Education Council formed by legislation in 2006 (LB 1208), distance education is now a responsibility of the ESUCC. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 79-1248 (Cum. Supp. 2012) sets out the following powers and duties of the ESUCC, which may be used as part of the effort to build, improve, and maintain the State’s distance education network:

1. Providing public access to lists of qualified distance education courses;
2. Collecting and providing school schedules for participating educational entities;
3. Facilitation of scheduling for qualified distance education courses;
4. Brokering of qualified distance education courses to be purchased by educational entities;
5. Assessment of distance education needs and evaluation of distance education services;
(6) Compliance with technical standards as set forth by the Nebraska Information Technology Commission [NITC] and academic standards as set forth by the State Department of Education related to distance education;

(7) Establishment of a system for scheduling courses brokered by ...[ESUCC] and for choosing receiving educational entities when the demand for a course exceeds the capacity as determined by either the technology available or the course provider;

(8) Administration of learning management systems, either through the staff of ...[ESUCC] or by delegation to an appropriate educational entity, with the funding for such systems provided by participating educational entities; and

(9) Coordination with educational service units and postsecondary educational institutions to provide assistance for instructional design for both two-way interactive video distance education courses and the offering of graduate credit courses in distance education.

• **Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing (Coop)**

  Coop provides cooperating purchasing services to Educational Service Unit member schools throughout the State of Nebraska. The service is offered by the ESUCC and Nebraska’s 17 ESUs in a joint effort. The ESUCC now serves as the governing body for Coop. Additionally, beginning in 2010-2011, the accounting system for Coop was modified to serve as the central accounting for all ESUCC projects.

• **Instructional Materials (I-Mat)**

  I-Mat also has a long history in providing services statewide for school districts through Nebraska's network of ESUs. For approximately 30 years, the ESUs have worked together to purchase rights to media materials and made those materials available through local ESUs in a variety of formats. This undertaking exists as a project of the ESUCC and, beginning in 2010-11, the fiscal management was moved under the ESUCC central accounting system. Annually, the I-Mat membership gathers to select titles to propose for purchase at a statewide level. ESUs contribute to the project for the “spring buy” and “special projects” each year. Now that I-Mat is one of the ESUCC projects, the master services agreement between the ESUCC and the ESUs identifies the level of participation of each ESU. As technology moves forward, so does the I-Mat project. Currently, videos are available in physical formats, including VHS, DVD, and CD. Additionally, media materials are being digitized and made available through the Learn360 “media on demand” service and the Safari Montage Learning Object Repository (LOR). This digital format is opening up media materials, once difficult to obtain, to schools across the state. Additionally, the project works to match media to specific standards and is making media searchable for the most appropriate classroom use. I-Mat, like other ESUCC projects, continues to evolve with conversations about moving to fully digital online media and expansion of other library and media resources.

• **My E-Learning (MEL or MyE)**

  MyE is a statewide project to make available an online learning management system (LMS) to school districts, teachers, and students. Similar to the other projects, MyE was brought under the umbrella of the ESUCC and, beginning in 2010-11, came to exist as a project directly managed under the ESUCC. ESU 10 in Kearney previously served as the fiscal agent for the project. The mission of MyE is to implement an asynchronous web-based learning management system to ensure statewide accessibility to: 1) expanded
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educational opportunities for all K-12 students; and 2) timely delivery of staff development opportunities. MyE is staffed by a director and shares administrative staffing with the ESUCC. The project has been guided by an advisory board consisting of ESU and school district (user) representatives. Currently, MyE supports the ANGEL and Blackboard learning management systems. The service is provided through annual user contracts and fees. Recent statistics indicate that there are approximately 40,000 user accounts in use by approximately 159 entities (schools or ESUs) across the state. The transition to management by the ESUCC has developed a shift in strategic planning and implementation of the MyE project. The project director is presently a critical piece of the development of a technology support system for the whole ESUCC. The MyE project is also a foundational piece of the conversations surrounding virtual education in the State.

- Special Education (SPED) Projects

The purpose of the SPED Projects is for participating parties to pool their resources in connection with the special education services and for the training of special education teachers and other staff members employed by educational institutions within the State. Each participating ESU contributes a $5,000 annual fee in general support of the projects and, additionally, each participating school is assessed a fee for services provided under the Student Records System (SRS) fee structure. There are three special education projects:

- **Improving Learning for Children with Disabilities (ILCD):** ILCD is a State self-assessment project that gathers information for Federal reporting requirements. The ILCD project utilizes parent, teacher, and administration survey assessments. The survey results can be accessed via the ILCD website. The ESUCCs’ technology role includes purchasing, distributing, and scanning surveys. ESUCC staff provides technical assistance for the ILCD website and survey design.

- **Project PARA:** Project PARA is a web-based method for school districts to provide introductory training for their paraeducators. Project PARA assists schools in meeting the paraeducator training requirements of No Child Left Behind, Rule 11, and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Project PARA is a collaborative effort between the University of Nebraska, the Nebraska Department of Education, and Nebraska ESUs.

- **Student Records System (SRS):** SRS is an online special education record-keeping system. It creates all special education documents required by Rule 51, including Individual Education Program (IEP), Multidisciplinary Evaluation Team (MDT), Individualized Family Service Plan (IFSP), and all required notices. SRS is a highly secure system that organizes and stores documents and provides easy access to files from anywhere via the internet. SRS training is provided across the State for district staff and college and university staff.
**BACKGROUND**
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- **BlendEd Initiative (Technology Direction)**
  In the fall of 2012, the ESUCC submitted an overview of the BlendEd Initiative to the Nebraska Information Technology Commission (NITC) with the vision of creating an eLearning system, bringing together the work of the ESUCC project areas into a more seamless shared learning environment. In May 2013, the position of Technology Project Manager was formed to coordinate this work across the ESUCC project areas. Projects involved in this effort are the I-Mat Learning Object Repository, MyE Learning Management Systems, and the ESU Professional Development Organization and affiliates. New work is beginning across these projects in the areas of federated identity management and an enhanced evaluative system. Blended education has been promoted by educational researchers as a one of the most promising recent innovations in education because it calls for making strategic choices about when face-to-face (synchronous) instruction is needed and when and how online (asynchronous) instruction can be best used to provide elements of student control over time, place, path and pace and provide more equity, efficiency and flexibility.
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MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the ESUCC is to provide the most cost effective educational support for the students, teachers, and school districts in each Nebraska educational service unit by facilitating statewide coordination of educational services and strategic planning.
EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held March 26, 2014, with the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council to discuss the results of our examination. Those in attendance for the ESUCC were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>TITLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Pool</td>
<td>Past Council President (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff West</td>
<td>Council President (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Radford</td>
<td>Council Treasurer (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Isaacson</td>
<td>Transition Project Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Ludwig</td>
<td>Incoming Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Quintance</td>
<td>Business Manager (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb Hericks</td>
<td>Assistant to Executive Director (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Via Teleconference
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SUMMARY OF COMMENTS

During our audit of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council (ESUCC), we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and other operational matters that are presented here.

1. **Organizational Structure and Internal Control Systems**: A lack of segregation of duties exists throughout the organization since staff is spread out amongst the various projects. There was also a lack of policies and procedures to govern the ESUCC’s payroll, expenditures, revenues, travel, and capital assets.

2. **Contractual Employees**: Several findings were noted in this area, as follows: a lack of segregation of duties overall; a lack of support for pay splits; and an overall lack of policies and procedures.

3. **Expenditures**: Our review noted an overall lack of segregation of duties and an overall lack of policies and procedures. We noted several contract issues, including: contracts not on file; several contracts not going through the competitive bidding process; no basis for selecting the vendor for several contracts; and no documented legal review on contracts. Lastly, we noted these issues involving travel expense reimbursements: inadequate support for reimbursements; lack of approval for the reimbursements; and a lack of timely submission of reimbursement requests.

4. **Revenues**: The Master Service Agreements (Agreement) between the ESUCC and the various ESUs did not include a reference to the 3.5% fee the ESUCC charges on Coop annual buy purchases, and the SPED SRS fees in the Agreement did not agree to the amount being charged. Additionally, we noted a lack of segregation of duties.

5. **Capital Assets**: Policies and procedures were not in place to govern capital assets, and a lack of segregation of duties existed.

More detailed information on the above items is provided hereafter. It should be noted this report is critical in nature since it contains only our comments and recommendations on the areas noted for improvement.

Draft copies of this report were furnished to the ESUCC to provide its management with an opportunity to review the report and to respond to the comments and recommendations included in this report. All formal responses received have been incorporated into this report. Responses have been objectively evaluated and recognized, as appropriate, in the report. Responses that indicate corrective action has been taken were not verified at this time, but they will be verified in the next audit.
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Organizational Structure and Internal Control Systems

As described in the Background Section of this report, the organizational structure of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council (ESUCC) operated under from its inception on July 1, 2008, through the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010, was essentially an umbrella organization made up of several statewide projects. This umbrella organization was based on all statewide projects previously offered in partnership with various Educational Service Units (ESUs) on July 1, 2008. The ESU hosting the statewide project became known as the “fiscal agent” for that project, now under the ESUCC. The ESUCC then established agreements with each fiscal agent to continue to provide the services of the project.

Beginning in September 2010, the ESUCC started the process of transitioning from a decentralized entity with multiple locations for accounting and administrative functions to a more centralized entity with one location for accounting and payroll functions. This was accomplished by the ESUCC contracting with ESU 17 in Ainsworth, Nebraska, to provide all payroll processing functions for the ESUCC and to have the ESUCC project staff responsible for accounting functions housed at ESU 17 facilities. In addition, effective September 2011, the ESUCC transitioned all ESUCC project employees to ESU 17 employees. This transition took the form of the ESUCC contracting with ESU 17 to provide all staff for the ESUCC. This was accomplished by ESU 17 developing employment contracts with all previous ESUCC project employees, including the Executive Director of the ESUCC. Thus, all ESUCC project employees and the ESUCC Executive Director became ESU 17 employees. Through an interlocal agreement, the ESUCC agreed to reimburse ESU 17 for all salaries and benefits for these employees.

Due to the decentralized organizational structure and internal control system established by the ESUCC at its inception on July 1, 2008, the Auditor of Public Accounts (APA) has made Comments and Recommendations to the ESUCC to improve its organizational structure and internal control systems in the past four audits. The ESUCC has implemented some of our recommendations; however, during the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, the following three issues remained uncorrected for the current audit:

A. Because of the current organizational structure, which remains somewhat decentralized, it continues to be difficult for the ESUCC Board and Executive Director to be involved in the day-to-day administrative review and approval process. While improvements have been made in this area, there are still areas of concern, as noted in Comments 2 and 3 below.

B. There was a lack of segregation of duties, as noted in Comments 2 through 5 below, which would likely be corrected if there were some cross-training of the accounting and payroll positions, as well as an adequate review process completed and documented.

C. There were limited formalized policies and procedures for the ESUCC as a whole. The ESUCC is currently in the process of building formal policies and procedures. This process began in the fall of 2010, and the actual start of the adoption of the policies and procedures began on March 2, 2011. However, as noted in Comments 2, 3, and 5 below, additional detailed formalized policies and procedures are still needed.
1. **Organizational Structure and Internal Control Systems** (Concluded)

Policies and procedures were most notably lacking as they relate to the Cooperative Purchasing Unit (Coop). The Coop provides purchasing services to ESU member schools throughout the State of Nebraska, with expenditures totaling over $5 million for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013. In our fiscal year ended August 31, 2012 Comments and Recommendations, we noted:

> There are currently no policies and procedures in place to govern the bid process or vendor selection. We noted during testing that a bid committee does review vendor bids for the Coop Annual Buy; however, there are no policies or procedures in place to govern how the committee makes their selections or who the committee should consist of.

During our current audit, we noted the ESUCC has started work on developing formal policies and procedures in the areas of Coop purchasing, payroll, expenditures, revenues, travel, and capital assets; however, as of the date of this report, these policies have not been finalized or approved by the Board.

A good internal control system requires some centralization of administrative responsibilities and management’s involvement in reviewing and approving transactions, the ability to adequately segregate duties and pay all payroll expenses appropriately, as well as the establishment of formalized policies and procedures. Without good internal control systems that include these elements, there is an increased risk of errors, fraud, waste, or abuse occurring and not being detected. Some specific errors were noted as a result of the current internal control systems, as described in Comments 2 through 4 below.

We again recommend the ESUCC continue improving its internal control systems. There should also be a process to ensure adequate and complete documentation of the review process is maintained, segregation of duties is in place, and policies and procedures for the ESUCC as a whole, and for the Cooperative Purchasing Unit specifically, are formalized and adopted.

**ESUCC’s Response:** Although the organizational structure including the physical location of key staff remains somewhat decentralized, the ESUCC continues to evolve the structure and processes to accommodate for various internal controls. Specifically, as of January 1, 2014, the ESUCC has implemented new accounting software that will allow enhanced internal controls and management oversight of accounting and payroll functions. Additionally, during the summer of 2013 the ESUCC reviewed and drafted a number of policies that are awaiting legal review and board adoption. The ESUCC will continue during a 6-week period in the summer of 2014 the review of policies, processes and procedures with a focus on areas identified by the APA including the cooperative purchasing project and other fundamental areas of the ESUCC processes including documentation.
2. **Contractual Employees**

During the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, the ESUCC had a total of 11 employees, which included the Executive Director, working on ESUCC projects. These 11 employees were officially ESU 17 employees. This was accomplished through an interlocal agreement between the ESUCC and ESU 17, which stipulated the employees were under the direct supervision of the ESUCC, and the ESUCC was ultimately responsible for the payroll costs of the employees.

In testing of payroll, we noted multiple findings in both the processing of payroll and the personnel policies and procedures for the ESUCC. The findings are outlined below.

A. **The contract between the ESUCC and ESU 17 for employees was not dated when signed and does not state the time period of the contract.**

The ESUCC and ESU 17 entered into an interlocal agreement that ESU 17 employees were to work on ESUCC projects, and, in return, the ESUCC would pay ESU 17 the cost of those employees’ payroll. In our prior audit, we noted these agreements covering the fiscal years ended August 31, 2013, and August 31, 2014, between the ESUCC and ESU 17 revealed that the ESUCC had dated its signature, but ESU 17’s signature was not dated, and the agreements did not specify a time period. For the current audit, we noted the ESUCC did not correct these issues on the August 31, 2013, and August 31, 2014, agreements.

A good internal control plan requires that contracts be dated, as well as state the time period covered by the contract.

A contract that is neither dated by the signatories nor specifies the time period to be covered may give rise to legal complications, including misunderstandings that lead to concerns over possible noncompliance.

We again recommend the ESUCC ensure its contracts are dated by the signer and specify the time period for which they are valid.

B. **A lack of segregation of duties exists in the payroll process, and certain controls over the payroll could be improved.**

During our prior audit for fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, we noted:

*Only one employee, the ESU 17 Business Manager, has access to the Harris Fund Accounting program used to process payroll at ESU 17 for ESUCC project employees, and the review process for the payroll registers is inadequate. The payroll registers are run by ESU 17’s Business Manager, who also notes changes from the prior month’s payroll register and gives it to the ESU 17 Administrator for review.*
2. **Contractual Employees** (Continued)

Our review in this area for fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, noted that no change was made on access to the Harris Fund Accounting program. Specifically, there is still only one employee, the ESU 17 Business Manager, who has access to the Harris Fund Accounting program used to process payroll. The ESUCC did take corrective action to improve its review process over the payroll register, and we believe its review process is now adequate.

We also noted the following during our prior audit: 1) only one employee, the Business Manager for the ESUCC, has access to AccountEdge, the accounting system used by the ESUCC to process payroll reimbursement payments to ESU 17; 2) a review of the payroll reimbursement entries is performed by an individual other than the individual entering the payroll entries into the ESUCC’s general ledger, but this review is not adequately documented to ensure that it has been performed; and 3) the ESUCC does not compare what it is billed to the actual payroll costs incurred by ESU 17 for ESUCC project employees. Such a comparison is necessary to ensure the ESUCC is paying ESU 17 the correct amount for payroll costs on an annual basis.

Our review in this area for fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, noted that no change was made to the above processes and procedures.

A good internal control plan requires a segregation of duties that prevents one individual from processing a transaction from beginning to end.

A lack of segregation of duties and a lack of controls over the payroll process creates an increased risk of asset misappropriation.

We again recommend the ESUCC develop a proper segregation of duties over the payroll process. If a proper segregation of duties cannot be developed due to a shortage of staff, we recommend compensating controls be developed. The ESUCC might consider the following:

- Continue to perform a documented, detailed supervisory review of payroll records before the payroll is processed.
- Compare what is billed to the actual payroll costs incurred by ESU 17 to ensure the ESUCC has paid the correct amount.
- Document the review of the payroll entries in AccountEdge by an individual other than the individual processing the entries.
2. **Contractual Employees** (Continued)

C. **Pay Splits between ESUCC Projects are Inadequately Supported**

During our prior audit, we noted the following:

*ESUCC has one employee whose pay is split equally between two different projects. However, this employee does not track her time worked on each project, and no time study has been completed to determine if the split is reasonably accurate.*

*Also, per the Executive Director, ESUCC employees are often utilized in different projects depending on the expertise needed for the projects managed by ESUCC. Their time worked on the different projects was not recorded and pay was not split between the projects to account for that time.*

During our current audit, we noted the ESUCC took action to partially correct this finding. In December 2013, the ESUCC started splitting four employees’ time between projects. According to staff, the split times were based on a general understanding of the executive director’s discussion with staff of the time they worked on the projects. No formal time study was used by the ESUCC to determine the time splits, and the ESUCC did not have any documentation of how these time splits were determined.

A good internal control plan requires pay splits to be adequately supported by documentation and also requires time worked on a project to be paid from that project’s funds.

When time worked is not tracked by project, there is an increased risk that expenses reported for projects will be inaccurate – which, for budgeting purposes, makes it difficult to anticipate the amount of payroll costs needed for each project in the future.

We again recommend the ESUCC either direct its project employees to start tracking their time worked on each project or have the employees do time studies periodically to ensure their pay is being split correctly between the various ESUCC projects.

D. **The ESUCC lacks formal personnel policies and procedures for the supervision of its contractual employees for ESUCC projects** – including, but not limited to, such areas as termination policies, leave awarding guidelines, monitoring completion of required workdays, and corrective measures should require workdays not be met in the contract period.

During our prior audit, we noted the following:

*Per the interlocal agreement between ESUCC and ESU 17, as well as the individual employee contracts, ESUCC is in charge of supervising contracted employees from ESU 17. The prior year’s audit noted that ESUCC lacked its own formal policies and procedures for supervising these employees; instead, ESUCC was informally relying upon ESU 17’s personnel policies. Since the last audit, ESUCC has been working on drafting the needed policies, but none have been officially adopted by the Board. These policies would need to include termination policies and procedures.*
2. **Contractual Employees** (Continued)

For granting leave to employees each year, the Executive Director uses informal guidelines for authorizing vacation leave each year, but no formal guidelines have been documented and approved by the ESUCC Board as part of its formal policies and procedures. This was also a prior year finding.

The only change completed, in an attempt to address this finding, is that vacation is now formally recognized and awarded in the employment contracts for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, as opposed to the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, in which the Executive Director would informally tell the Business Manager at ESU 17 what to enter into the Harris Fund Accounting system for awarding and tracking leave.

Additionally, in last year’s audit, we noted the absence of formal policies and procedures governing employees’ progress toward completing the required number of workdays, as outlined in their employment contracts. There were also no corrective measures to address a situation in which an employee fails to complete his or her required workdays for the contract period. Since the finding, the Business Manager at ESU 17, the Administrative Assistant at ESUCC, and the Executive Director track and review employees’ workdays each month. However, no formal policies and procedures for such monitoring, much less any related disciplinary measures, have been developed and approved by the Board since the last audit.

During our current audit, we noted the ESUCC has not yet formally adopted personnel policies and procedures to correct this finding.

A good internal control plan requires formal personnel policies and procedures to include, among other things:

- Policies and procedures to supervise contractual employees when the contract states the entity is responsible for supervising the employees.

- Policies and procedures for handling employee terminations.

- Policies and procedures for determining the amount of leave time granted to employees each fiscal year.

- Policies and procedures for monitoring employees’ progress toward completing their required number of workdays and establishing corrective measures in the event the required number of workdays are not met.

A lack of formal personnel policies and procedures, as recommended above, gives rise to an increased risk that Board directives may not be followed in accordance with the work performed by ESUCC project employees.

We again recommend the ESUCC adopt formal personnel policies and procedures for the supervision of its contractual employees on ESUCC projects. We recommend further that the ESUCC establish and document its criteria and process for granting
2. **Contractual Employees** (Continued)

employees vacation leave time. Lastly, we recommend the ESUCC develop documented policies and procedures for monitoring employees’ progress toward working the required workdays, per their employment contracts with ESU 17, as well as establish corrective procedures should an employee not work the required amount of workdays.

ESUCC’s Response: All of the ESUCC employees are employed as contractual employees through an inter-local agreement with ESU 17. The ESUCC has adjusted internal practices and worked with ESU 17 management to address many of the areas addressed in this audit and will continue to review contracts, payroll processes, and timesheet/leave review. Adjustments to pay splits were made in the 2013-2014 fiscal year in response to previous audit reports. Additionally, the ESUCC will examine doing periodic time studies to further document pay splits between various projects. During the summer of 2013 the ESUCC reviewed and drafted a number of policies that are awaiting legal review and board adoption and will continue to refine those impacting personnel in light of the recommendations provided by the APA.

3. **Expenditures**

During our review of the ESUCC’s expenditures/accounts payable process and our testing of selected transactions, we noted the following:

A. **A lack of segregation of duties exists in the expenditures/accounts payable process.**

   During our prior audit, we noted:

   There is a lack of segregation of duties over the expenditures/accounts payable process. The lack of segregation of duties arises as one person has the ability to receive purchased items, approve the invoice for payment, prepare the payment voucher, and reconcile documents to the general ledger. We also noted only one employee has access to the accounting system software utilized by ESUCC, and other employees are not cross-trained to do the employee’s duties if the employee is unable to work. This finding was also noted in the prior year.

   During our current audit, we noted that the ESUCC had not made any changes in this area. One employee continued to have access to the accounting system software utilized by the ESUCC, and no other employee had been cross-trained on the system for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013.

   A good internal control plan requires an adequate segregation of duties over the expenditures and accounts payable processes.

   When segregation of duties does not exist, there is a greater risk of fraud and misuse of funds.
3. **Expenditures** (Continued)

   We again recommend the ESUCC review its staffing and assignments to determine if an adequate segregation of duties can be obtained, so no one individual is able to process a transaction from beginning to end. We also recommend the ESUCC assign unique user IDs and passwords for the accounting software to other employees and cross-train them to use the program.

B. **A lack of policies and procedures to follow up on old outstanding checks.**

   During our prior audit, we noted: “It was noted that ESUCC does not have policies or procedures to follow up on old outstanding checks.” Our current audit noted the ESUCC had not developed or approved a policy in this area.

   A good internal control plan would include a requirement that old outstanding checks be followed up on a regular basis. The ESUCC might consider the following policies regarding old outstanding checks: a procedure to review for old outstanding checks on a regular basis; a procedure to follow up on old outstanding checks; and a procedure to determine when old outstanding checks should be cancelled and monies turned over to the Nebraska State Treasurer as unclaimed property in accordance with the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act, which is set out at Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ 69-1301 through 69-1332 (Reissue 2009, Cum. Supp. 2012).

   When policies and procedures to follow up on old outstanding checks are not available for staff, there is a greater risk these checks may not be detected and the ESUCC may not be in compliance with the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act.

   We again recommend the ESUCC develop policies regarding the identification and follow-up for old outstanding checks.

C. **We reviewed 19 ESUCC contracts and noted the following:**

   - For two vendor payments tested, we noted that no competitive bidding had been conducted for the services provided.

      To start, the ESUCC entered into a contract for a crisis trainer. According to ESUCC staff, the contract was for a specialized trainer in the field of crises training, which constituted sole source vendor to whom the competitive bidding did not apply. For training and related expenses, the ESUCC paid the crisis trainer in excess of $20,000 in fiscal year ended August 31, 2013. However, there was a lack of documentation showing the basis for designating this vendor as being truly a sole source vendor.

      A second vendor was to provide services to connect the BlendED/IMat project to schools throughout the State. The ESUCC was provided a proposal from Safari Montage, the
3. **Expenditures** (Continued)

Vendor, to provide this service in the amount of $243,619 with payment made to the Safari Montage in the amount of $111,919 in fiscal year ended August 31, 2013. The ESUCC did not provide documentation that this request for service was bid out or documentation that this was a sole source vendor.

A good internal control plan would include a requirement that the basis for sole source determination be documented to support that the competitive bidding process would not be required.

A similar finding was noted during our prior audit.

When this documentation is not prepared, there is a greater risk the ESUCC may not be giving all potential bidders an opportunity to submit a bid proposal, and the ESUCC may not be receiving the lowest possible price for its services and products.

We again recommend that, when the ESUCC considers a vendor to be a sole source, documentation be prepared to support that decision. If a sole source vendor cannot be supported, the service or goods being purchased should go through the formal bidding process. The final review and approval of this process should be completed by the Coop Purchasing Director.

- During our testing of contracts, we noted ESM, a software vendor, was paid $110,000 for an annual software subscription fee. To select the vendor, an ESUCC selection committee narrowed the field to three prospective bidders who made presentations to the selection committee. The selection committee evaluated the vendors verbally; therefore, no written documentation was available to support the lowest possible bidder was chosen.

A good internal control plan would include a requirement that written documentation be available to support that the lowest possible bidder was chosen.

When this documentation is not prepared, there is a greater risk the ESUCC may not be receiving the lowest possible price for its services and products.

We recommend that, when the ESUCC selection committee evaluates vendors, written documentation be maintained to support its selection.

- During our testing of the above 19 contracts – 15 of which were standard agreements between the ESUCC and vendors, which must be signed by the vendors before they can bid through Coop purchasing – the ESUCC did not provide documentation to support that all 19 of these contracts had been subject to legal review prior to being signed. This was a prior year audit finding.
3. **Expenditures** (Continued)

A good internal control plan would include a requirement that all contracts have a legal review.

When a legal review is not documented for each contract entered into, there is a greater risk that all legal contractual requirements will not be considered and included in the contract, resulting in possible unnecessary litigation and disputes with vendors.

We again recommend the ESUCC document the legal review performed prior to the final approval and signing of all contracts.

- For two payments tested, the ESUCC did not have a contract on file. The ESUCC was provided a proposal from Safari Montage to provide services, as noted above. However, the ESUCC did not have a contract with Safari Montage. Additionally, the ESUCC had an agreement with Angel/Blackboard to provide services in the amount of $133,250 for the period July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013; however, when the auditor requested a copy of the contract with Angel/Blackboard, the ESUCC had to contact the vendor for a copy of it. No termination clause was included in the contract.

A good internal control plan would require that the ESUCC enter into contractual agreements for all services and maintain such contracts on file. Additionally, a good internal control plan would require that a termination clause be included in all contracts. When contracts are on file and terms are specific and enforceable, the ESUCC’s interests are protected during the period of the contract.

We had a similar finding in our prior audit.

When there is no contractual arrangement for services, it is more difficult for the ESUCC to determine if billing statements are accurate and complete. When contracts are not kept on file, the ESUCC is at risk of making payments outside the terms of the contract. When a termination clause is not included in all contracts, the ESUCC is at risk of incurring legal liability for exiting the contract prematurely.

We recommend the ESUCC enter into contracts for all services obtained. In addition to containing a termination clause, those contracts should be maintained on file.

D. The ESUCC lacks formal policies and procedures as related to expenditure documents, including Coop purchasing payments.

As noted in Comment 1, formalized policies and procedures were lacking as related to the Cooperative Purchasing Unit (Coop). For 15 of 15 Coop purchasing payments, the expenditures were not properly approved by an appropriate member of management and/or
3. **Expenditures** (Continued)

the Board prior to expensing. The expenditure approval procedure for the ESUCC is to have
the Board Treasurer and the Executive Director approval all expenditures. For the 15 Coop
purchasing expenditures tested, however, the Executive Director did not have documented
approval of those expenditures prior to their being expensed.

A good internal control plan requires the establishment of formalized policies and procedures
to ensure the proper review and approval of expenditures.

When policies and procedures are not in place to require the proper review and approval of
expenditures, there is a greater risk for fraud or abuse.

We recommend the ESUCC develop formalized policies and
procedures to ensure all expenditure documents are properly
reviewed and approved prior to payment.

E. We reviewed 11 travel-related expenditure documents and noted the following (many of
the findings noted during our current audit were also noted during our prior audit):

- During our prior audit, we noted:

  Although ESUCC has informal travel policies and procedures, ESUCC does not have formal
  policies and procedures officially adopted and approved by the ESUCC Board relating to travel
  expenses and reimbursements. It should be noted that since ESUCC does not have formal policies
  and procedures related to the payment of travel expenses, the APA performed its testing
  procedures based on the policies outlined for travel in the State of Nebraska’s Department of
  Administrative Services, State Accounting Manual.

  Our current audit noted that the ESUCC had not yet developed formal policies and
  procedures related to the payment of travel expenses.

  A good internal control plan would include adopting formal policies and procedures relating
to expensing and reimbursing items for travel.

  Lack of formal policies and procedures for travel can potentially lead to payment of
unallowable or unnecessary expenses.

  We recommend the ESUCC adopt formal policies and procedures
that address the requirements for travel expenses, including, but
not limited to, policies and procedures related to the exceptions
noted in this comment.

- For 3 of 11 travel expenditures tested, auditors were unable to trace the expenditure
  adequately back to supporting documentation. This was due, in part, to a lack of formal
3. **Expenditures** (Continued)

policies and procedures relating to travel expenditures. Two expenditures in question lacked supporting documentation in the form of receipts for cab fare and parking fees. For one expenditure, the only supporting documentation attached to the reimbursement form was a printout from the employee’s calendar.

A good internal control plan would require information listed on each travel expense reimbursement form to be supported by adequate documentation agreeing with the dates, locations, and amounts listed on the reimbursement form.

When travel expense reimbursements are not adequately supported, there is a greater risk of improper travel reimbursements being made.

We recommend the ESUCC ensure its Application For Expense Reimbursement forms include adequate support for all expenses in the form of receipts or other documentation.

- For four of four mileage reimbursement transactions tested, we were unable to verify mileage reimbursements were reasonable based on the most direct route of travel. The reasonableness of mileage was determined by calculating mileage in excess of 10% of MapQuest mileage. The 10% excess for one employee was based on calculating mileage from his headquarter city or place residence, whichever mileage was less. The employee requested mileage reimbursement from his home, at times getting reimbursed for more mileage than he would have received reimbursement for if he would have requested mileage from his headquarter city.

A good internal control plan would require adequate supporting documentation of mileage incurred for work travel purposes. This would include having travel logs that include start and stop times, travel location, and purpose of travel. In addition, a reasonable policy for travel reimbursement would be to reimburse for mileage from an employee’s place of residence or headquarter city, whichever is the least mileage.

When there is a lack of adequate documentation for mileage incurred, there is greater risk for fraud or abuse of travel expenses.

We recommend the ESUCC ensure policies and procedures provide requirements for documenting mileage in a travel log that would be turned in along with the reimbursement request and other supporting documentation verifying the location of the work event. In addition, we recommend the ESUCC establish policies and procedures to define what mileage will be reimbursed when an employee has the option to claim mileage from either his or her residence or headquarter city.
3. **Expenditures** (Continued)

- For four of nine travel expenditures with meal and lodging reimbursements tested, meal and lodging costs were either not adequately documented with detailed receipts or invoices, or were not considered reasonable based on Federal GSA per diem rates.

Good business practices require meal reimbursements over $5 to be supported by detailed receipts in order to be approved for reimbursements. Additionally, meal reimbursement requests should include only meals that were actually purchased and allowable, and lodging reimbursements should fall within Federal GSA per diem rates.

When meals over $5 are not adequately documented with detailed receipts, there is greater risk for expense reimbursement abuse. Additionally, when lodging reimbursements exceed Federal GSA per diem rates, there is an increased risk that reimbursements are not reasonable.

We recommend the ESUCC develop policies and procedures requiring employees to submit detailed receipts with each travel reimbursement request, as well as to submit a meal log itemizing all meal expenses. Additionally, we recommend that Federal GSA per diem rates be followed for lodging expenditures.

- For 4 of 11 travel expenditures tested, in some cases involving multiple reimbursement request forms, the expenditures were not properly approved by an appropriate member of management and/or the Board prior to expensing. In fact, one expenditure was for 22 reimbursement request forms submitted by the Executive Director, all of which were approved only by him.

A good internal control plan would require the proper approval of expenditures, including an adequate review process that is performed before the expenditure is made.

When procedures are not in place to require the proper approval of expenditures, and a review and approval procedure is not performed before the expenditure is made, there is a greater risk for fraud or abuse.

We recommend the ESUCC improve the review process for expenditure documents to ensure no one individual approves his or her own expenditure reimbursements and to ensure all expenditure reimbursement requests are reviewed and approved prior to payment.

- Travel expense reimbursement requests by two individuals were not submitted in a timely manner. Both individuals submitted multiple reimbursement request forms at one time.
3. **Expenditures** (Concluded)

- One individual submitted 22 reimbursement request forms covering nearly a year period, and one submitted 15 reimbursement request forms covering more than a six-month period. The untimely submission is due to the decentralized nature of the offices and location of ESUCC project employees, and a lack of formal and consistent policies and procedures pertaining to submitting reimbursement requests for travel and general non-travel expenses.

A good internal control plan would require that requests for expense reimbursements be submitted in a timely manner in order to ensure proper documentation, review, and payment of the reimbursement.

When requests for expense reimbursements are not submitted in a timely manner, there is a greater risk of errors, and it is more likely that proper documentation for reimbursement would not be available.

We recommend the ESUCC develop formal, consistent policies for the timely submission of reimbursement requests.

*ESUCC’s Response: Having implemented a new accounting system as of January 1, 2014, the ESUCC will continue to explore its capabilities to provide increased management oversight, monitoring and detection to assist us in addressing segregation of duty concerns in our small and decentralized staff. The ESUCC will particularly focus on policy areas that impact cooperative purchasing as well as processes to review contract review, expense documentation, and timelines for expense submission and approval.*

4. **Revenues**

In our review of the revenue process and test of revenue transactions, we noted the following:

- A lack of segregation of duties for the revenues/receipts process for the ESUCC exists. One person has the ability to receive checks and record initial control. Another person has the ability to perform the deposit entry and reconcile the deposit document to the general ledger. The ESUCC has multiple review processes in place; however, documentation of these reviews is inadequate. This finding was also noted in the prior year.

- We noted the Master Service Agreements (Agreement) between the ESUCC and the various ESUs did not include a reference to the 3.5% fee the ESUCC charges on Coop annual buy purchases, and the SPED SRS fees in the Agreement did not agree to the amount being charged. The fee amount being charged was changed from the previous year, as approved by the Board; thus, the proper amount was being charged. However, the Agreement was not updated to reflect this change.
4. **Revenues** (Concluded)

A good internal control plan involves adequate segregation of duties and adequate documentation of reviews performed to ensure no one person is in a position to both perpetuate and conceal errors or irregularities. Additionally, good business practices require that Agreements between two parties include accurate terms and conditions to avoid disagreements and confusion between the two parties.

When there is a lack of segregation of duties, there is a greater risk of error or fraud and misuse of funds. When terms and conditions in Agreements don’t match the terms and conditions in practice, there is a greater risk of disagreements and possible lost revenue.

We recommend the ESUCC implement controls that effectively decrease the chance of fraud and misuse of funds and document these controls with signatures, dates, e-mails, etc. We further recommend that the ESUCC review its Agreements to ensure that references to fees charged are included, and the fee amounts, when changed, are appropriately updated in the Agreements.

*ESUCC’s Response: The Coop Purchasing system has been restructured in the 2013-2014 fiscal year so that the ESUCC is providing an electronic system for ESUs and schools to manage their purchasing while payments will be made directly from school districts and ESUs to the vendors rather than through the ESUCC. In light of these changes and this finding, the Master Services Agreement will be revised in future years. A clerical error was made in the preparation of the 2012-2013 Master Services Agreement resulting in the incorrect SPED SRS fees being shown. The SPED SRS fees were corrected in the 2013-2014 agreement. Generally, the ESUCC will explore options that would enhance monitoring and detective controls recommend by the APA to address segregation of duties.*

5. **Capital Assets**

During our prior audits, we have reported that the ESUCC has no formal system in place to track capital assets. While an informal policy on fixed asset management was developed, including the creation of forms for the disposal of assets, there were no formal and approved policies or procedures in place to govern capital asset additions, deletions, useful life, or depreciation method for the fiscal year. Additionally, there was a lack of segregation of duties noted, as one person can perform all the functions for capital asset inventory.

A good internal control plan requires a system be in place to track capital assets in order to decrease the risk that assets of the ESUCC will be lost or stolen, policies and procedures are followed to ensure capital assets are being recorded properly, and an adequate segregation of duties exists over the capital asset inventory process.

Without the above noted elements of a good internal control plan and good business practices in place, there is an increased risk fraud, waste, or abuse will occur.
5. **Capital Assets** (Concluded)

We again recommend the ESUCC Board continue to implement a strong internal control plan, which would include policies and procedures for capital assets, and review its staffing and assignments to determine if an adequate segregation of duties can be obtained.

*ESUCC’s Response: ESUCC management and staff have developed processes and a recommendation for formal policy adoption. The ESUCC tracks inventory and will seek to formalize and refine policies and processes to track capital assets.*
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Directors
Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council
Lincoln, Nebraska

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying modified cash-basis financial statements of the governmental activities, and general fund of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting described in Note 1; this includes determining that the modified cash basis of accounting is an acceptable basis for the preparation of the financial statements in the circumstances. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and
disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

**Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective modified cash-basis financial position of the governmental activities, and the general fund of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council, as of August 31, 2013, the respective changes in modified cash-basis financial position for the year then ended in accordance with the modified cash basis of accounting described in Note 1.

**Basis of Accounting**

We draw attention to Note 1 of the financial statements, which describes the basis of accounting. The financial statements are prepared on the modified cash basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

**Other Matters**

**Other Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's basic financial statements. The combining schedule-general fund is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The combining schedule-general fund is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the combining schedule-general fund is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.
The Management’s Discussion and Analysis and Budgetary Comparison Schedule on pages 29 through 34 and 47 have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

**Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standard**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated April 3, 2014 on our consideration of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Lincoln, Nebraska
April 3, 2014

Don Dunlap, CPA
Assistant Deputy Auditor
Management of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council (ESUCC) provides the following discussion and analysis of the ESUCC’s financial performance, as reflected in the financial report for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013. Please read it in conjunction with the ESUCC’s basic financial statements, which follow.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the ESUCC’s financial statements. The provisions of Statement No. 34 (“Statement 34”) of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB), “Basic Financial Statements – and Management’s Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments,” established standards for external financial reporting for all state and local government entities. These standards require three components for the ESUCC’s basic financial statements. They are: 1) government-wide financial statements; 2) fund financial statements; and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information (e.g., combining schedules) and other information (e.g., budgetary information) in addition to the basic financial statements. These components are described below:

**Government-wide Financial Statements**

These statements are intended to provide a broad view of the ESUCC’s operations in a manner similar to the private sector, providing both a short-term and a long-term view of the ESUCC’s financial position. The ESUCC prepared its government-wide statements on the accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual (i.e., are both measurable and available), and expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred. Accordingly, the ESUCC’s government-wide financial statements are not intended to present the financial position and results of operations in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) accepted in the United States of America. The government-wide financial statements include two statements, the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities.

The **Statement of Net Position** on page 35 presents all of the ESUCC’s assets and liabilities on the cash and modified accrual basis, as described above, with the difference between the two reported as “net position.”

The **Statement of Activities** on page 36 presents information showing how the ESUCC’s net position changed during the reported year. Changes reported are on the cash and modified accrual basis, as described above. The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenditures of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenditures are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: (1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and (2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Appropriations and other items not properly included among program receipts/revenues are reported, instead, as general revenues.
Fund Financial Statements
This is the second set of financial statements presented in the report. Under GAAP, these statements would be different from the government-wide statements in that these statements would use a different accounting approach and focus on the near-term inflows and outflows of ESUCC operations.

The ESUCC has only one fund, the general fund. GAAP classifies funds into three categories – Governmental Funds, Proprietary Funds, and Fiduciary Funds. The general fund of an entity is classified as a Governmental Fund, as it accounts for all basic services. The Fund Financial Statements, which can be found on pages 37 and 38 provide detailed information about the ESUCC’s general fund. A fund is a method of accounting that uses a set of accounts to maintain accountability and control over specific sources of funding and spending for a particular activity or objective. GAAP requires governmental funds to use the modified accrual basis of accounting.

The six projects that make up the general fund in addition to ESUCC Administration are: ESU Professional Development Organization (ESUPDO); Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing Unit (Coop); Distance Education; My E-Learning (MyE); Instructional Materials (I-Mat); and Special Education Projects (SPED).

Notes to the Financial Statements
The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in all of the basic financial statements. The notes can be found beginning on page 39.

Other Information
Following the basic financial statements and the accompanying notes thereto is additional information that further explains and supports the information in such financial statements. The other information consists of the budgetary schedule and notes.

Other Supplementary Information
Other supplementary information consists of combining schedules. This information can be found on page 55.

FINANCIAL AND OPERATING HIGHLIGHTS
The ESUCC’s Net Position for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, compared to the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, decreased by $309,236. This decrease is generally due to modest increases in program expenses compared to slower growth in revenues. The table on the following page provides a more detailed picture of the changes in net position.
FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF ESUCC AS A WHOLE

Net Position
The ESUCC’s assets totaled $4,122,926 at August 31, 2013, as compared to $4,378,357 at August 31, 2012. Liabilities totaled $2,483,490 and net position amounted to $1,639,436, as of August 31, 2013. As of August 31, 2012, these amounts were $2,367,997 and $2,010,360, respectively.

Unrestricted net position is all other net position that does not meet the definition of “restricted” or “invested in capital assets, net of related debt.” The ESUCC’s unrestricted net position totaled $1,639,436, as of August 31, 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>$ 2,767,446</td>
<td>$ 3,394,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>1,353,078</td>
<td>983,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Items</td>
<td>2,402</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td>4,122,926</td>
<td>4,378,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,483,490</td>
<td>2,367,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NET POSITION:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
<td>1,639,436</td>
<td>2,010,360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Net Position:</strong></td>
<td>$ 1,639,436</td>
<td>$ 2,010,360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of August 31, 2013, 67% of the ESUCC’s assets consisted of cash and cash equivalents. This compares to 77.5%, as of August 31, 2012. The majority of the remaining assets consist of accounts receivable at the Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing Unit in the amount of $1,353,078 and $983,669, as of August 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The difference between the two years is generally a result of timing of receipts and expenditures of the annual buy project of Cooperative Purchasing.

The ESUCC’s liabilities consist primarily of liabilities at the Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing Unit in the amount of $2,483,490 and $2,367,997, as of August 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. The difference in liabilities year to year also varies based on the annual buy project.

ESUCC’s net position was $1,639,436 and $2,010,360, as of August 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. ESUCC’s net position may vary based on receipts and expenditures in Cooperative Purchasing as well as other ESUCC projects.
Changes in Net Position
The condensed financial information below was derived from the government-wide Statement of Activities and reflects how the ESUCC’s net position changed during the year. Following the table is management’s analysis of the changes in net position for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013.

### Changes in Net Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
<th>Fiscal Year Ended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 31, 2013</td>
<td>August 31, 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Revenues:**
- **Program Revenues**
  - Charges for Services: $6,336,063
  - Operating Grants and Contributions: $83,482
- **General Revenues**
  - State Appropriations: $565,593
  - Penalties and Fees: $102,204
  - Miscellaneous: $365

**Total Revenues:**
- $7,087,707
- $6,698,479

**Expenditures:**
- ESU Professional Development: $474,323
- Distance Education Council: $287,109
- My E-Learning: $279,418
- Special Education: $422,073
- Instructional Materials: $321,760
- Nebraska ESU Cooperative: $5,612,260

**Total Expenditures:**
- $7,396,943
- $6,713,283

**Change in Net Position:**
- ($309,236)
- ($14,804)

**Net Position - Beginning:**
- $1,948,672
- $2,025,164

**Net Position - Ending:**
- $1,639,436
- $2,010,360

### Receipts/Revenues
The largest single source of receipts/revenues for the ESUCC is charges for services. Charges for services are primarily revenues generated by the Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing Unit for services provided to ESUs and school districts and program receipts/revenues for the various projects. Charges for Services for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, were $6,336,063, and for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, were $6,037,674. Some or all of the change between the two periods reflects the timing of Cooperative Purchasing revenues.
The second largest source of receipts/revenues is generated by general receipts/revenues. The general receipts/revenues were primarily made up of State appropriations for 2% of core services funding, but also included capital grants. General receipts/revenues for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, were $668,162 and for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, were $600,282. Some or all of the change between periods is the availability of general revenues from State appropriations as well as grant sources supporting ESUCC projects.

Expenditures
The largest single purpose of expenditures for the ESUCC was expenditures for goods and services expended by the Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing Unit for goods and services that are then provided to ESUs and school districts. Disbursements/expenditures for these services for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, were $5,612,260 and for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, were $5,160,216. Some or all of the changes between periods reflect the level of participation in Cooperative Purchasing annual buy.

The remaining expenditures for the ESUCC relate primarily to the various other programs managed by the ESUCC. Disbursements for various programs for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013, were $1,784,683 and for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2012, were $1,553,067. Increases in disbursements for other programs managed by the ESUCC are basic inflationary increases in program costs, including, but not limited to, salary and benefit increases and general inflationary costs of maintaining existing programs and services.

ANALYSIS OF ESUCC’S GENERAL FUND VARIATIONS
The table below provides a comparison of budgeted revenues and expenditures to actual revenues and expenditures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Positive (Negative) Variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>$1,960,847</td>
<td>$1,948,672</td>
<td>$ (12,175)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>10,629,843</td>
<td>7,087,707</td>
<td>(3,542,136)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures</td>
<td>10,940,850</td>
<td>7,396,943</td>
<td>3,543,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Increase (Decrease)</td>
<td>(311,007)</td>
<td>(309,236)</td>
<td>1,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td>$1,649,840</td>
<td>$1,639,436</td>
<td>$ (10,404)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The largest variance between budgeted and actual revenues was Local Revenues, which were budgeted to be $10,064,250 but were actually $6,316,063. The majority of this variance can be explained primarily by the ESU Coop, which typically experiences large fluctuations each year between budgeted and actual figures due to the high volume of purchases that can be made.
The largest variances between budgeted and actual expenditures were due to the Coop Annual Buy and the Coop Special Buy, which had budgeted $6,500,000 and $1,660,000, respectively, but incurred actual costs of $4,633,913 and $109,898, respectively. Again, this is due to the potential for ESU Coop’s large fluctuations described above.

**FACTORS THAT WILL AFFECT THE FUTURE**

Several factors influence the future of the ESUCC and its projects. Projects that are based on conditions of ESUs and school districts, as far as purchasing of products and services, tend to vary depending on the levels of participation in Cooperative Purchasing, My E-Learning, and professional development. General economics have created an environment where schools and ESUs have fewer resources available to buy goods and services. Additionally, State appropriations have been reduced for support of the ESUCC and the Distance Education program. These reductions will impact overall resources available for the foreseeable future. Long-term trends will include further strategic reorganization of projects and services to address the likelihood of a decrease in future resources. Strategic reorganization efforts impacting the future will include organizing professional development services under a new structure that will allow for the development of new statewide projects. Additionally, existing projects in technology are being organized to most efficiently and effectively manage the changing environment in educational technology. Future fiscal years will combine efforts in instructional materials, My E-Learning, and distance education under a “BlendEd” initiative. Similarly, professional development efforts will include new statewide projects and services. Future projects and services of the ESUCC will be maintained through expanded partnerships and possibly through statewide grants or contracts facilitated by the ESUCC.

**CONTACTING ESUCC’S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens and taxpayers a general overview of the ESUCC’s finances and to demonstrate the ESUCC’s accountability for the money with which it is entrusted. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact Scott Isaacson, Transition Project Coordinator, Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council, 455 South 11th Street, Suite C, Lincoln, Nebraska, 68508. The telephone number is (402) 597-4866, and the email address is sisaacson@esucc.org.
EDUCATIONAL SERVICE UNIT COORDINATING COUNCIL

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
August 31, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSETS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIABILITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NET POSITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Net Position</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.
## FUNCTIONS/PROGRAMS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Program Revenues</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Net (Expenditures), Revenues, and Changes in Net Assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expenditures</td>
<td>Charges for Services</td>
<td>Operating Grants and Contributions</td>
<td>Total Governmental Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary Government</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESU Professional Development</td>
<td>$ 474,323</td>
<td>$ 202,817</td>
<td>$ 38,470</td>
<td>$ (233,036)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance Education Council</td>
<td>287,109</td>
<td>5,189</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(281,920)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My E-Learning</td>
<td>279,418</td>
<td>130,419</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(148,999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Education</td>
<td>422,073</td>
<td>371,708</td>
<td>45,012</td>
<td>(5,353)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instructional Materials:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administration</td>
<td>162,249</td>
<td>463,798</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>301,549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat Spring Buy</td>
<td>69,386</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(69,386)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat Special Project</td>
<td>(875)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media on Demand</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(91,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administration (Note 7)</td>
<td>868,449</td>
<td>5,162,132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,293,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coop Annual Buy</td>
<td>4,633,913</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(4,633,913)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Buy</td>
<td>109,898</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(109,898)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Governmental Activities</strong></td>
<td>$ 7,396,943</td>
<td>$ 6,336,063</td>
<td>$ 83,482</td>
<td>(977,398)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Revenues:**
- State Appropriations: $565,593
- Penalties and Fees: $102,204
- Miscellaneous: $365

**Total General Revenues:** $668,162

**Change in Net Assets:** $309,236

**Net Position - Beginning (Restated - Note 8):** $1,948,672

**Net Position - Ending:** $1,639,436

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.
### ASSETS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>$2,767,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>1,353,078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid Items</td>
<td>2,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Assets</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,122,926</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liabilities</td>
<td>$2,483,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assigned</td>
<td>1,063,916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>575,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Fund Balance</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,639,436</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Liabilities and Fund Balance</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,122,926</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.
## Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance

### Governmental Fund

For the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>REVENUES:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties and Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **EXPENDITURES:** |
| Purchased Services (Note 6) | 1,218,945 |
| General Administration | 139,296 |
| Supplies and Equipment | 264,495 |
| Capital Outlay | 13,649 |
| Miscellaneous (Note 7) | 689,774 |
| I-Mat | 4,166 |
| NOC | 17,426 |
| SDA | 112,073 |
| SPED | 30,762 |
| TAG | 3,035 |
| I-Mat Spring Buy | 69,386 |
| I-Mat Special Project | (875) |
| Media on Demand | 91,000 |
| Coop Annual Buy | 4,633,913 |
| Coop Special Buy | 109,898 |
| **Total Expenditures** | **7,396,943** |

**Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures**

| (309,236) |

**Fund Balance - Beginning (Restated - Note 8)**

| 1,948,672 |

**Fund Balance - Ending**

| $1,639,436 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.
1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Organization

The Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council (ESUCC) was created in statute to coordinate statewide activities of Nebraska’s 17 Educational Service Units (ESUs). The governing body for the ESUCC consists of an administrator representative from each of the 17 ESUs and an executive director. The ESUCC was created by LB 603 (2007) and officially came into existence, according to statute, on July 1, 2008. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 79-1246(1) (Reissue 2008) outlines the ESUCC’s general responsibilities and duties, as follows:

The Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council shall work toward statewide coordination to provide the most cost-effective services for the students, teachers, and school districts in each educational service unit. [.ESUCC’s] duties include, but are not limited to:

(a) Preparation of strategic plans to assure the cost-efficient and equitable delivery of services across the state;
(b) Administration of statewide initiatives and provision of statewide services; and
(c) Coordination of distance education.

Prior to the creation of the ESUCC by the Nebraska Legislature, the 17 ESUs worked in partnership to provide statewide activities. Based on the above statutory authority, the ESUCC decided that all statewide activities offered in partnership by the ESUs would be placed under the umbrella of the ESUCC. Although identified separately in the “ESUCC Admin and Professional Development Organization” column of the Combining Schedule, the ESUCC reports the general administrative costs of the ESUCC overall along with the ESUPDO project costs. The ESUCC contracts with ESU 17 to provide all staff for the ESUCC. This is accomplished annually by ESU 17 developing employment contracts with all ESUCC project employees, including the Executive Director of the ESUCC. Thus, all ESUCC project employees and the ESUCC Executive Director are ESU 17 employees. Through an interlocal agreement, the ESUCC reimburses ESU 17 for all salaries and benefits for these employees.

The following is a brief description of each statewide project budgeted for and administered by ESUCC:

- **ESU Professional Development Organization (ESUPDO):** The ESUPDO serves as a collaborative effort to provide statewide training for ESU employees statewide. Professional development is among the core services identified by State statute for ESUs.
1. **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** (Continued)

- **Distance Education**: Distance Education originated with the Distance Education Council formed by legislation in 2006 and evolved into a program under the ESUCC. The State’s distance education director is housed at ESU 10. Neb. Rev. Stat. § 79-1248 (Cum. Supp. 2012) includes among the powers and duties of the ESUCC various responsibilities pertaining to the operation of the State’s distance education network.

- **Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing (Coop)**: Coop provides cooperating purchasing services to Educational Service Unit Member schools throughout the State of Nebraska. The Coop director is in Lincoln, and operations are housed at ESU 17 (Ainsworth).

- **Instructional Materials (I-Mat)**: I-Mat is a statewide project that purchases rights to media materials and makes them available through local ESUs in a variety of formats. The staff member for I-Mat is housed at ESU 5 (Beatrice).

- **My E-Learning (MEL or MyE)**: MyE is a statewide project to make available an online learning management system (LMS) to school districts, teachers, and students. MyE staff are housed at ESU 3 (Omaha).

- **Special Education (SPED) Projects**: There are three special education projects managed by the ESUCC in its Lincoln offices. The purpose of the SPED Projects is for participating parties to pool their resources in connection with the special education services and for the training of special education teachers and other staff members employed by educational institutions within the State of Nebraska.

- **BlendEd Initiative (Technology Direction)**: In the fall of 2012, the ESUCC submitted an overview of the BlendEd Initiative to the Nebraska Information Technology Commission (NITC) with the vision of creating an eLearning system, bringing together the work of the ESUCC project areas into a more seamless shared learning environment. Projects involved in this effort are the I-Mat Learning Object Repository, MyE Learning Management Systems, and the ESU Professional Development Organization and affiliates.

**B. Reporting Entity**

The ESUCC is a governmental entity established under and governed by the laws of the State of Nebraska. In evaluating how to define the ESUCC for financial reporting purposes, all potential component units have been considered. The basic – but not the only – criteria for including a potential component unit within the reporting entity is the governing body’s ability to exercise oversight responsibility. The most significant manifestation of this ability is financial interdependency. Other manifestations of the
1. **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** (Continued)

ability to exercise oversight responsibility include, but are not limited to, the selection of governing authority, the designation of management, and the ability to significantly influence operations and accountability for fiscal matters. Based upon the above criteria, the accompanying financial statements include all funds for which the ESUCC has oversight responsibility. The ESUCC does not have any component units and has only one fund – the General Fund.

**C. Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The basic financial statements include both government-wide and fund financial statements. The reporting model, based on GASB Statement 34, focuses on the ESUCC as a whole in the government-wide financial statements and major individual funds in the fund financial statements. The government-wide financial statements report information on all of the activities of the primary government and any component units. The ESUCC has only one fund – the General Fund – and has no component units. Governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenditures of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenditures are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Appropriations and other items not properly included among program receipts/revenues are reported instead as general receipts/revenues.

**D. Fund Types**

The accounts of the ESUCC are organized on the basis of funds. The ESUCC has only one governmental fund type – the General Fund.

**E. Basis of Accounting**

The ESUCC prepares its financial statements, both its governmental-wide and fund statements, on the modified cash basis of accounting. Under the modified cash basis of accounting, the ESUCC has modified the cash basis of accounting to record accounts receivables, as such all revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual (i.e., both measurable and available). Available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay current liabilities. The ESUCC has modified the cash basis of accounting to record accounts payables, as such expenditures are recorded when the
1. **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** (Continued)

liability is incurred. Under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the
government-wide financial statements would be prepared using the full accrual basis of
accounting. The governmental fund statements would be prepared on the modified
accrual basis of accounting. Consequently, these financial statements are not intended to
present financial information in accordance with GAAP.

**F. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

In addition to the ESUCC’s bank account, this classification includes all short-term
investments, such as certificates of deposit, repurchase agreements, and U.S. obligations
guaranteed as to principal by the U.S. Treasury, and U.S. agency and instrumentalities
having original maturities of one year or less, as invested with the Nebraska Public
Agency Investment Trust (NPAIT). These investments are valued at amortized cost,
which approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of the investments.

**G. Receivables**

Receivables are stated without estimated allowances for uncollectible amounts. This
determination is based upon past collection experience and current economic conditions.
Historically, the ESUCC has not experienced any uncollectible accounts.

**H. Basis of Presentation**

The ESUCC adopted the provisions of Statement No. 34 (Statement 34) of the
Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB), “Basic Financial Statements – and
Management’s Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments.” Statement
34 established standards for external financial reporting for all state and local government
entities, which includes government-wide financial statements, fund financial statements,
and the classification of net position into three components – (a) invested in capital
assets, net of related debt; (b) restricted; and (c) unrestricted. The ESUCC reported only
unrestricted net position.

**I. Net Position Classification**

*Government-Wide Statements.* Net Position is displayed as unrestricted net position.
Unrestricted net position is all other net assets that do not meet the definition of
“restricted” or “invested in capital assets, net of related debt.”

*Fund Financial Statements.* Governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance.
Fund balances are further classified as assigned or unassigned. Assignments of fund
balances are established to identify the existence of assets that are intended to be used for
specific purposes. The Executive Director is the official authorized to assign amounts to
a specific purpose. The ESUCC had assignments of fund balance in the Nebraska ESU
Cooperative Purchasing in the amount of $1,063,916. Unassigned fund balance is the
residual classification for the general fund.
1. **Summary of Significant Accounting Policies** (Concluded)

   **J. Capital Assets**

   The ESUCC expenses its capital outlays as they are purchased. Under GAAP, capital outlays would be capitalized as capital assets and recorded on the Government-Wide Statement of Net Position and depreciated/expensed over the life of the asset on the Statement of Activities.

   **K. Estimates**

   The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. **Deposits and Investments**

   Listed below is a summary of the deposit and investment portfolio that comprises the Cash and Cash Equivalents on the ESUCC’s August 31, 2013, basic financial statements.

   **Deposits** – Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, a government’s deposits may not be returned to it. The ESUCC does not have a policy for custodial credit risk associated with deposits. At August 31, 2013, the ESUCC’s carrying amount of bank deposits was $51,463, and the bank balance was $53,716.

   The bank deposits at August 31, 2013, were covered by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (FDIC). However, at certain times during the year, deposits were not fully covered by the FDIC or secured by collateral.

   **Investments** – State statute authorizes the ESUCC’s Board to invest funds in securities, the nature of which individuals of prudence, discretion, and intelligence acquire or retain in dealing with property of others.

   The ESUCC has chosen to invest its available cash reserves in the Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust (NPAIT). The NPAIT was established in June 1996 through the Interlocal Cooperation Act and commenced operations July 25, 1996. The NPAIT was established to assist public bodies throughout the State of Nebraska with the investment of their available cash reserves. Participation in the investment trust is voluntary for its members. The objective of the NPAIT is to provide its owner members with a conservative and effective investment alternative tailored to the needs of its members. The NPAIT currently consists of and operates one portfolio and a fixed term account. The NPAIT portfolio management generally follows established investment
2. **Deposits and Investments** (Concluded)

criteria developed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for money market funds designed to offer acceptable yield while maintaining liquidity. The NPAIT is not registered with the SEC as an investment company. For a copy of the most recent audit report for the NPAIT, contact Scott Isaacson, Transition Project Coordinator, Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council, 455 South 11th Street, Suite C, Lincoln, Nebraska, 68508. The telephone number is (402) 597-4866, and the email address is sisaacson@esucc.org.

The NPAIT may legally invest in direct obligations of and other obligations guaranteed as to principal by the U.S. Treasury and U.S. Agency and Instrumentalities and in bank repurchase agreements. It may also invest in guaranteed student loans, loans guaranteed by the Small Business Administration, Federal Home Administration, or any other agency of the United States, as well as any other type of investment permitted for public agencies by State law. At June 30, 2013, all of the Trust’s investments in U.S. agencies and repurchase agreements mature in a period of less than two years.

*Interest Rate Risk* – As a means of limiting its members’ exposure to fair value losses arising from rising interest rates, all of the NPAIT’s investments have maturities of less than two years.

*Credit Risk* – Credit risk is the risk that the issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. According to the latest audit report on the NPAIT, as of June 30, 2013, the NPAIT’s investments in government agency securities were rated as AA+.

*Custodial Credit Risk* – Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the NPAIT will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of the outside party. The NPAIT has no specific policy as to credit risk. All of the underlying securities for the NPAIT investments in repurchase agreements at June 30, 2013, the latest audit report date for the NPAIT, are held by the counterparties in the NPAIT’s name.

*Concentration of Credit Risk* – The NPAIT places no limit on the amount that may be invested in any one issuer.

Reconciliation of deposits and NPAIT investments to Cash and Cash Equivalents on the Statement of Net Position is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carrying Value</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bank Deposits</td>
<td>$51,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPAIT Investments</td>
<td>2,715,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash &amp; Cash Equivalents</td>
<td>$2,767,446</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Contingencies and Commitments**

*Risk Management* – The ESUCC is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts, theft of, damage to, or destruction of assets, errors or omissions, injuries to employees, and natural disasters. During the fiscal year, the ESUCC chose to purchase the following commercial insurance policies to cover these risks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Deductible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal Property Coverage (per location)</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Liability</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Benefits Liability (deductible is per employee)</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Leaders E&amp;O Liability</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automobile (Non-Owned &amp; Hired) Liability</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workers Compensation Insurance</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Coverage</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No insurance claims resulting from these risks were filed during the fiscal year by the ESUCC, except for the Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing. Settled claims for the Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing have not exceeded the above coverage in the past three years.

4. **School Retirement**

The ESUCC contracts with ESU 17 to provide all staff for the ESUCC. Thus, all ESUCC project employees and the ESUCC Executive Director are ESU 17 employees. Through an interlocal agreement, the ESUCC agreed to reimburse ESU 17 for all salaries and benefits for these employees. Benefits provided by the ESUCC included retirement benefits, as ESU 17 employees are eligible to be members of the Nebraska School Employees Retirement System. Thus, ESU 17 contributes to the Nebraska School Employees Retirement System on behalf of the ESUCC. The Nebraska School Employees Retirement System is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Nebraska Public Employees Retirement System (NPERS). Benefits are based on both service and contributions or salary. The plan has been created in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code, Sections 401(a) and 414(h). Contributions and benefit provisions are established by State law and may only be amended by the State Legislature.

The State’s contribution is based on an annual actuarial valuation. The employees’ contribution was 9.78% of their compensation, and the ESUCC’s contribution was 101% of the employees’ contribution for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2013. ESUCC employees contributed $73,338, and the ESUCC contributed $74,075, which equals the required contribution.
4. **School Retirement** (Concluded)

NPERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Nebraska School Employees Retirement System. That report may be obtained by writing NPERS, 1526 K Street, Suite 400, P.O. Box 94816, Lincoln, NE, 68509-4816.

5. **Lease Commitments**

The ESUCC leases office facilities under operating leases. Operating lease payments for the year ended August 31, 2013, totaled $25,635. The ESUCC’s future minimum lease payments are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Governmental Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$13,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$3,327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$17,158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **Reclassification**

The ESUCC records in its general ledger employee salaries and benefits; however, ESUCC staff are ESU 17 employees, as the ESUCC contracts, through an interlocal agreement, with ESU 17 to provide staffing for ESUCC, making all employees of the ESUCC contract employees. To better reflect the nature of these expenditures, the amount of these salaries and benefits of $792,840 was classified as Purchased Services on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance.

7. **Cooperative Purchasing Refund of Fees to ESUs**

The ESUCC Board approved refunds of cooperative purchasing fees, and the ESUCC refunded fees in the amount of $481,206 to ESUs during the fiscal year. These refunds are classified as Nebraska ESU Cooperative Purchasing General Administration Expenditures on the Statement of Activities and as a Miscellaneous Expenditure on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance.

8. **Prior Period Adjustment**

The beginning fund balance was decreased by $61,688 to reflect the change in a prior period adjustment in fiscal year 2012. This amount was removed from the financial statements to reflect an amount that was an expense in 2011, not an amount on deposit with ESU 17 for purchased services (payroll).
# Required Supplementary Information

## Budgetary Comparison Schedule

### Budget and Actual

**General Fund**

For the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES:</th>
<th>Budget (Original/Final)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Positive (Negative) Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>$ 10,064,250</td>
<td>$ 6,316,063</td>
<td>$ (3,748,187)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>565,593</td>
<td>565,593</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>83,482</td>
<td>83,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties and Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102,204</td>
<td>102,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20,365</td>
<td>20,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenues</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,629,843</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,087,707</strong></td>
<td><strong>(3,542,136)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENDITURES:</th>
<th>Budget (Original/Final)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Positive (Negative) Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Services</td>
<td>1,207,500</td>
<td>1,218,945</td>
<td>(11,445)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administration</td>
<td>449,325</td>
<td>139,296</td>
<td>310,029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and Equipment</td>
<td>360,750</td>
<td>264,495</td>
<td>96,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13,649</td>
<td>(13,649)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>394,875</td>
<td>689,774</td>
<td>(294,899)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>4,166</td>
<td>834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>17,426</td>
<td>9,074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA</td>
<td>32,800</td>
<td>112,073</td>
<td>(79,273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPED</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>30,762</td>
<td>5,238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>3,035</td>
<td>6,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat Spring Buy</td>
<td>76,500</td>
<td>69,386</td>
<td>7,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat Special Project</td>
<td>81,600</td>
<td>(875)</td>
<td>82,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media on Demand</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coop Annual Buy</td>
<td>6,500,000</td>
<td>4,633,913</td>
<td>1,866,087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coop Special Buy</td>
<td>1,660,000</td>
<td>109,898</td>
<td>1,550,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditures</strong></td>
<td><strong>10,940,850</strong></td>
<td><strong>7,396,943</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,543,907</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):</th>
<th>Budget (Original/Final)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Positive (Negative) Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interfund Transfers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Appropriations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Financing Sources (USES)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excess Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures</th>
<th>Budget (Original/Final)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Positive (Negative) Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(311,007)</td>
<td>(309,236)</td>
<td>1,771</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Balance - Beginning</th>
<th>Budget (Original/Final)</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Positive (Negative) Variance with Final Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fund Balance - Ending</td>
<td>$ 1,649,840</td>
<td>$ 1,639,436</td>
<td>$ (10,404)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Notes to Required Supplementary Information.
1. **Budget**

*Basis of Budgeting* – The ESUCC prepares its budget on the modified cash basis, which includes consideration of accounts payable and accounts receivable and is consistent with the financial statement presentation.

*Budget Process and Property Taxes* – The ESUCC follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the accompanying statements:

- Public hearings are conducted at public meetings to obtain taxpayer comments.
- Prior to September 20, the budget is legally adopted by the Board through passage of a resolution. Total expenditures may not legally exceed total appropriations. Appropriations lapse at year end, and any revisions require Board approval.
# COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES
## GENERAL FUND
For the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESUCC Admin and Professional Development Organization</th>
<th>Distance Education Council</th>
<th>Instructional Materials</th>
<th>My E-Learning</th>
<th>Special Education</th>
<th>Nebraska Cooperative Purchasing</th>
<th>Total General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>$182,817</td>
<td>$5,189</td>
<td>$463,798</td>
<td>$130,419</td>
<td>$371,708</td>
<td>$5,162,132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>275,228</td>
<td>290,365</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>38,470</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45,012</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalties and Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>20,365</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$516,880</td>
<td>$295,554</td>
<td>$463,798</td>
<td>$130,419</td>
<td>$416,720</td>
<td>$5,264,336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDITURES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Services</td>
<td>$165,962</td>
<td>$265,235</td>
<td>$34,542</td>
<td>$122,992</td>
<td>$402,926</td>
<td>$227,288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administration</td>
<td>97,564</td>
<td>2,915</td>
<td>3,015</td>
<td>12,674</td>
<td>6,583</td>
<td>16,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies and Equipment</td>
<td>3,705</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>134,809</td>
<td>3,955</td>
<td>121,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,995</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>39,630</td>
<td>5,697</td>
<td>123,880</td>
<td>8,943</td>
<td>8,609</td>
<td>503,015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat</td>
<td>4,166</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOC</td>
<td>17,426</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA</td>
<td>112,073</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPED</td>
<td>30,762</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG</td>
<td>3,035</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat Spring Buy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69,386</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-Mat Special Project</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(875)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media on Demand</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coop Annual Buy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,633,913</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coop Special Buy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>109,898</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Disbursements/Expenditures</td>
<td>$474,323</td>
<td>$287,109</td>
<td>$321,760</td>
<td>$279,418</td>
<td>$422,073</td>
<td>$5,612,260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT
OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

Board of Directors
Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council
Lincoln, Nebraska

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, and general fund of Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council, as of and for the year ended August 31, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon April 3, 2014.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and, therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Comment Section of this report, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.
A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying Comment Section of this report as Comment Number 1 (Organizational Structure and Internal Control Systems) to be material weakness.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Comment Section of this report as Comment Number 2 (Contractual Employees), Comment Number 3 (Expenditures), and Comment Number 4 (Revenues) to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

The Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's Response to Findings

The Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Comment Section of this Report. The Educational Service Unit Coordinating Council's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Lincoln, Nebraska
April 3, 2014

Don Dunlap, CPA
Assistant Deputy Auditor